Case 1:05-cv-06042-JBS Document 143-2 Filed 12/04/2008 Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

EMERSON	ELECTRIC CO., et al.,	

Plaintiffs,

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

Case No. 05-CV-6042 (JBS)

LE CARBONE LORRAINE, S.A., et al.,

Defendants.

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' letter brief dated October 20, 2008 in which Plaintiffs sought to compel the production of notes taken by counsel for Defendants of the interviews of Jim McCrone, Michel Coniglio, and Jacques Marquand conducted by the United States Department of Justice; the Court having reviewed both Defendants' submission in opposition thereto in which Defendants argued that Plaintiffs failed to make a showing sufficient to satisfy the requirements of Fed R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and Plaintiffs' submission in support thereof; the Court having held a telephone conference pursuant to Civil Local Rule 37.1(a)(1) on November 5, 2008 during which the Court determined that the requested attorney notes contained attorney work product; and the Court having concluded that the notes taken by counsel for Defendants of the interviews of Jim McCrone and Michel Coniglio conducted by the United States Department of Justice should be disclosed:

**ORDERED** that Defendants shall produce, on or before December 9, 2008, notes taken by counsel for Defendants of the interviews of Jim McCrone and Michel Coniglio conducted by

Case 1:05-cv-06042-JBS Document 145 Filed 12/05/08 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 3998

Case 1:05-cv-06042-JBS Document 143-2 Filed 12/04/2008 Page 2 of 2

the United States Department of Justice, and that the Defendants may redact opinion work

product contained in the notes, subject to further objection;

**ORDERED** that Plaintiffs have reserved all rights with respect to the notes taken by

counsel for Defendants of the interview(s) of Jacques Marquand conducted by the United States

Department of Justice, and that, in the event Plaintiffs' efforts to depose Mr. Marquand are not

successful, Plaintiffs shall be entitled to renew their motion to compel production of such notes

and Defendants shall be entitled to oppose such renewed motion to compel; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the notes produced by Defendants pursuant to this

Order shall be designated "Highly Confidential" pursuant to the Protective Order entered in the

above-captioned litigation and that such notes shall not be disclosed, without prior agreement of

the parties or order of the Court, to any person other than (1) the Court or court personnel; and

(2) counsel of record for any party in the above-captioned litigation.

From 18 Just FROME B. SIMANDLE

INITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DC6726287.1